| 1 | | | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | |
| 4 | MADISON LEE SOLLARS, an Individual,) NO. | | | | | |
| 5 |) | | | | | |
| 6 | Plaintiff,) | | | | | |
| 7 | vs.) COMPLAINT FOR PERSONAL INJURY AND DAMAGES | | | | | |
| 8 | THE YARROW GROUP, LLC, d/b/a EVERGREENS, a Washington Limited Liability Company) | | | | | |
| 9 | Defendant. | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | |
| 11 | COMES NOW the plaintiff, MADISON LEE SOLLARS, by and through her attorneys of | | | | | |
| 12 | record, the MARLER CLARK law firm, and alleges as follows: | | | | | |
| 13 | I. PARTIES AND JURISDICTION | | | | | |
| 14 | 1.1 The plaintiff, MADISON LEE SOLLARS ("the plaintiff"), resides in King County, | | | | | |
| 15 | Washington. | | | | | |
| 16 | 1.2 The defendant, THE YARROW GROUP, LLC, a Washington limited liability | | | | | |
| 17 | company, d/b/a EVERGREENS restaurant ("the defendant"), at all material times owned and | | | | | |
| 18 | operated the establishment located at 106 1st Ave S, Seattle, Washington 98104. The defendant at all | | | | | |
| 19 | times material hereto was carrying on in its ordinary course of business of the company, and was in | | | | | |
| 20 | the business of the manufacture, distribution, preparation, service, and sale of food to its store | | | | | |
| 21 | customers at that location, and as such was doing business in Seattle, Washington. | | | | | |

COMPLAINT FOR PERSONAL INJURY AND DAMAGES - 1

1.3 This court has jurisdiction, and venue is proper, because the incident complained of herein occurred in King County, Washington, and because the defendant at all times relevant was doing business within the State of Washington.

II. FACTS

The Outbreak

- 2.1 Seattle/King County Public Health are investigating an outbreak of Shiga toxinproducing E. coli (STEC) potentially associated with four Evergreens restaurants in Seattle.
- 2.2 Twelve of thirteen people who became ill during November 10–15, 2019, ate dishes containing raw vegetables, including leafy greens, from Evergreens restaurants during November 5-11, 2019.
- 2.3. The thirteenth person did not report having eaten at Evergreens, but genetic testing showed they were infected with the same strain of E. coli as three people who did eat at Evergreens and became ill. Genetic testing on isolates from four of the seven people (three who reported eating at Evergreens before they became ill and one who did not report eating at Evergreens) identified the same strain of *E. coli*, suggesting they have a common source of infection.
- 2.4 This strain of E. coli is different from the strain currently causing a national outbreak associated with romaine lettuce grown in Salinas, California, which the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) announced on November 22, 2019.

20

21

Prior E. coli Outbreaks Linked to Romaine Lettuce and Other Leafy Greens

2.5 *E. coli* O157:H7 outbreaks associated with lettuce and other leafy greens are by no means a new phenomenon. Outlined below is a list of *E. coli* outbreaks involving contaminated lettuce or leafy greens in the past decade:

| Date | Vehicle | Etiology | Confirmed Cases | States/Provinces |
|------------|------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Sept. 2009 | Lettuce: Romaine or Iceberg | E. coli O157:H7 | 29 | Multistate |
| Sept. 2009 | Lettuce | E. coli O157:H7 | 10 | Multistate |
| April 2010 | Romaine | E. coli O145 | 33 | 5:MI, NY, OH, PA, TN |
| Oct. 2011 | Romaine | E. coli O157:H7 | 60 | Multistate |
| April 2012 | Romaine | E. coli O157:H7 | 28 | 1:CA Canada |
| June 2012 | Romaine | E. coli O157:H7 | 52 | Multistate |
| Sept. 2012 | Romaine | E. coli O157:H7 | 9 | 1:PA |
| Oct. 2012 | Spinach and Spring Mix Blend | E. coli O157:H7 | 33 | Multistate |
| Apr. 2013 | Leafy Greens | E. coli O157:H7 | 14 | Multistate |
| Aug. 2013 | Leafy Greens | E. coli O157:H7 | 15 | 1:PA |
| Oct. 2013 | Ready-To-Eat Salads | E. coli O157:H7 | 33 | Multistate |

COMPLAINT FOR PERSONAL INJURY AND DAMAGES - 3

| Date | Vehicle | Etiology | Confirmed Cases | States/Provinces |
|------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Apr. 2014 | Romaine | E. coli O126 | 4 | 1:MN |
| Apr. 2015 | Leafy Greens | E. coli O145 | 7 | 3:MD, SC, VA |
| June 2016 | Mesclun Mix | E. coli O157:H7 | 11 | 3:IL, MI, WI |
| Nov. 2017 | Leafy Greens | E. coli O157:H7 | 67 | Multistate and Canada |
| Mar. 2018 | Romaine | E. coli O157:H7 | 219 | Multistate and Canada |
| Nov. 2018 | Romaine | E. coli O157:H7 | 88 | Multistate and Canada |
| Sept. 2019 | Romaine | E. coli O157:H7 | 23 | Multistate |
| Nov. 2019 | Romaine | E. coli O157:H7 | 104 | Multistate and Canada |

The E. coli O157:H7 bacteria

- 2.6 Escherichia coli are the name of a common family of bacteria, most members of which do not cause human disease. E. coli O157:H7 is a specific member of this family that can cause bloody diarrhea (hemorrhagic colitis) in humans. In the years since E. coli O157:H7 was first identified as a cause of diarrhea, this bacterium has established a reputation as a significant public health hazard.
- 2.7 *E. coli* O157:H7 lives in the intestines of cattle and other ruminants. *E. coli* O157:H7 is also notable among pathogenic bacteria for its extremely low infectious dose—that is, the number

COMPLAINT FOR PERSONAL INJURY AND DAMAGES - 4

of bacteria necessary to induce infection in a person. While for most pathogenic bacteria it takes literally millions of bacterial colonies to cause illness, it is now known that fewer than 50 *E. coli* O157:H7 bacteria can cause illness in a child. The practical import is that even a microscopic amount of exposure can trigger a devastating infection.

2.8 The most severe cases of the *E. coli* O157:H7 infection occur in young children and in the elderly, presumably because the immune systems in those age populations are the most vulnerable. After a susceptible individual ingests *E. coli* O157:H7, the bacteria attach to the inside surface of the large intestine and initiates an inflammatory reaction of the intestine. What ultimately results is the painful bloody diarrhea and abdominal cramps characteristic of the intestinal illness. The mean incubation period (time from ingestion to the onset of symptoms) of *E. coli* O157:H7 is estimated to be two to four days (range, 1-21 days). Typically, a patient with an acute *E. coli* O157:H7 infection presents with abdominal cramps, bloody diarrhea, and vomiting. The duration of diarrhea in children with *E. coli* O157:H7 infections are significantly longer than that of adults.

2.9 E. coli O157:H7 can produce a wide spectrum of disease from mild, non-bloody diarrhea, to severe bloody diarrhea accompanied by excruciating abdominal pain to life-threatening complications. In most infected individuals, the intestinal illness lasts about a week and resolves without any long-term effects. Antibiotics do not appear to aid in combating these infections, and recent medical studies suggest that antibiotics are contraindicated for their risk of provoking more serious complications. Apart from good supportive care, which should include close attention to hydration and nutrition, there is no specific therapy.

COMPLAINT FOR PERSONAL INJURY AND DAMAGES - 5

20

21

2.10 About 10% of individuals with *E. coli* O157:H7 infections (mostly young children) go on to develop hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS), a severe, potentially life-threatening complication. The essence of the syndrome is described by its three central features: destruction of red blood cells, destruction of platelets (those blood cells responsible for clotting), and acute renal failure due to the formation of micro-thrombi that occlude microscopic blood vessels that make up the filtering units within the kidneys.

2.11 There is no known therapy to halt the progression of HUS. The active stage of the disease usually lasts one to two weeks, during which a variety of complications are possible. HUS is a frightening illness that even in the best American medical facilities has a mortality rate of about 5%. The majority of HUS patients require transfusion of blood products and develop complications common to the critically ill.

MADISON LEE SOLLARS' E. coli Infection

- 2.12 The plaintiff consumed a salad on November 8, 2019, which she purchased from the defendant's Evergreens restaurant Pioneer Square location at 106 1st Ave S in Seattle, Washington.
- 2.13 On November 12, 2019, the plaintiff began experiencing symptom onset, including nausea, vomiting, bloody diarrhea, stomach cramps, fever, muscle aches, fatigue, and headaches.
- 2.14 The plaintiff sought medical treatment for her symptoms at Urgent Care on November 13 and in the Emergency room on November 14, for symptoms consistent with an *E. coli* infection.
 - 2.15 The plaintiff continues to recover.

III. <u>CAUSE OF ACTION</u> <u>STRICT PRODUCT LIABILITY</u>

COMPLAINT FOR PERSONAL INJURY AND DAMAGES - 6

9

11 12

13 14

15

16

18

17

19

20

21

- 3.1 The defendant is a product manufacturer within the meaning of the Washington Product Liability Act ("WPLA" or "the Act"), RCW 7.72.010(2), and manufactured the food that caused the plaintiff's *E. coli* infection and related injuries. The *E. coli*-contaminated food consumed by the plaintiff was a product within the meaning of the WPLA, RCW 7.72.010(3).
- 3.2 Under the WPLA, the defendant owed a duty to the plaintiff to manufacture a product that was reasonably safe in construction, did not materially deviate from applicable design specifications, or otherwise deviate in some material way from otherwise identical units in the defendant's product line.
- 3.3 Under the WPLA, the defendant owed a duty to the plaintiff to manufacture a product that conformed to their implied warranties, as defined by RCW Ch. 62A, including, but not limited to, the implied warranty that defendant's food was fit for human consumption.
- 3.4 The food manufactured and sold by the defendant that caused plaintiff's *E. coli* infection, and all associated legal injuries, was not reasonably safe in construction, and did not conform to defendant's implied warranties, because it was contaminated and adulterated with, among other things, *E. coli*.
- 3.5 Because the defendant's food was not reasonably safe in construction, and did not conform to defendant's implied warranties, the defendant is strictly liable to the plaintiff for the harm proximately caused by the contaminated food.

IV. CAUSE OF ACTION NEGLIGENCE

4.1 The defendant manufactured, distributed and sold a product that was not reasonably

COMPLAINT FOR PERSONAL INJURY AND DAMAGES - 7

1011

12

13 14

15

16

18

17

19

20

21

fu

safe as designed or manufactured, within the meaning of the WPLA, RCW 7.72.030(1).

- 4.2 The defendant was negligent in manufacturing, distributing, and selling a product that was not reasonably safe because adequate warnings or instructions were not provided including, but not limited to, the warning that the food may contain *E. coli* bacteria, and thus should not be provided for the purpose of human consumption.
- 4.3 The defendant had a duty to comply with all statutory and regulatory provisions that pertained or applied to the manufacture, distribution, storage, labeling, and sale of their food products including, but not limited to, the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetics Act, which bans the manufacture, sale, and distribution of any "adulterated" food. The defendant breached this duty.
- 4.4 The plaintiff is among the class of persons designed to be protected by the statutory and regulatory provisions pertaining to the defendant's manufacture, distribution, storage, labeling, and sale of their food.
- 4.5 The defendant owed a duty to the plaintiff to use reasonable care in the manufacture, distribution, and sale of its product, to prevent contamination by *E. coli*. The defendant breached this duty.
- 4.6 As a result of the defendant's negligence, the plaintiff suffered severe and permanent personal injuries, as well as economic loss.

V. DAMAGES

5.1 The plaintiff has suffered general, special, incidental, and consequential damages as a direct and proximate result of the acts and omissions of the defendant, which damages shall be fully proven at the time of trial, including, but not limited to: damages for loss of enjoyment of life,

| 1 |
|----|
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |

both past and future; medical and medical related expenses, both past and future; travel and travelrelated expenses, past and future; emotional distress, and future emotional distress; pharmaceutical expenses, past and future; wage and other economic damages loss; loss of consortium; and other ordinary, incidental and consequential damages as would be anticipated to arise under the circumstances.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff prays, having stated her claims in full, request judgment by this court against the defendants as follows:

- 1. For general damages, in amounts to be proven at the time of trial;
- For special damages, in amounts to be proven at the time of trial;
- 3. For costs, including her reasonable attorney fees; and
- 4. For such other and further relief as the court deems just and equitable in the circumstances.

The plaintiff further requests that the court award the plaintiff the opportunity to amend or modify the provisions of this complaint as necessary or appropriate after additional or further discovery is completed, and after all appropriate parties have been served.

DATED this 16th day of December 2019.

MARLER CLARK, L.L.P., P.S.

MM

21

COMPLAINT FOR PERSONAL INJURY AND DAMAGES - 9

| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 2 | William D. Marler, WSBA #17233 Attorneys for Plaintiff |
| | Attorneys for Framum |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| | |

COMPLAINT FOR PERSONAL INJURY AND DAMAGES - 10