1 2 3 4 5 6 7	COMP CLARK HILL PLC MARK S. DZARNOSKI Nevada Bar No. 3398 E-mail: mdzarnoski@clarkhill.com MICHAEL V. CRISTALLI Nevada Bar No. 6266 E-mail: mcristalli@clarkhill.com 3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy., #500 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Tel: (702) 862-8300 Fax: (702) 862-8400 Attorneys for Plaintiff	Electronically Filed 2/18/2020 3:13 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT CASE NO: A-20-810683-C Department 16		
8	DISTRICT COURT			
9	CLARK COUN	TY, NEVADA		
10	SOLACE HOLDINGS, LLLP, a Nevada	Case No		
11	limited liability limited partnership, Plaintiff,	Dept. No		
12 13	vs.	COMPLAINT		
13	CASE MANDEL, an individual, and			
14	TRINIDAD CONSULTING, LLC, a limited liability company,			
16	Defendants.			
17	NATURE OF THE CASE			
18	1. Defendant Case Mandel ("Mandel	") is desperate to raise money, and will say or		
19	do anything to get what he wants. Mandel infl	ated projections for his cannabadoil ("CBD")		
20	business by over 2,000% when compared to his actual sales in order to con Plaintiff Solace			
21	Holdings LLLP ("Solace") and its related affiliates out of over \$1.2 million under three separate			
22	contracts. It started back in September 201	8 when Mandel, through Cannadips, LLC		
23	("Cannadips"), a wholly owned subsidiary of Defendant Trinidad Consulting, LLC ("Trinidad"),			
24 25	which Mandel owns and controls, entered into a Convertible Loan Agreement with Telloni			
25 26	Holdings Limited ("Telloni"), an affiliate of Solad	ce. Pursuant to that agreement, Telloni provided		
20 27	Mandel (through Cannadips) with \$500,000.00 t	o fund Mandel's CBD business. Subsequently,		
27	around the beginning of 2019, Telloni and Cannae	dips amended the Convertible Loan Agreement		
20	1 of Plaintiff's Complaint	9		

and increased the loan for Mandel's business to \$1 million. In or around July 2019, Mandel once 1 2 again made a desperate plea for more funds, this time claiming he needed to fund his business' marketing expenses. Based upon representations of Mandel and Trinidad, Solace gave Mandel 3 and his business more funds by providing Trinidad with a bridge loan for \$200,000.00, which the 4 5 parties agreed would be paid-in-full after three months with all accrued and unpaid interest. The bridge loan was memorialized by a Credit Facility Note for \$200,000.00 with Trinidad as the 6 Maker and Solace as the Holder ("Credit Facility Note"). See Exhibit 1 hereto. Unbeknownst 7 to Solace, Mandel and Trinidad never intended to honor their representations and promises 8 and/or the terms and conditions of the Credit Facility Note, and when this Credit Facility Note 9 reached its maturity date, Mandel and Trinidad refused and continue to refuse to pay back what 10 Solace is rightfully owed. This lawsuit relates to the collection of funds due to Solace under the 11 Credit Facility Note. Solace's affiliate Telloni will be seeking damages in a separate jurisdiction 12 for the \$1 million provided by Telloni to Mandel, Cannadips, and Trinidad as referenced herein. 13 THE PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE 14 2. 15 Plaintiff Solace is organized under the laws of the State of Nevada, with its principal place of business located in Clark County, Nevada. 16 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Mandel is a resident of Humboldt 17 County, California. 18 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Trinidad is a California limited liability 19 20 company that maintains or has maintained a principal place of business in Humboldt County, California. 21 5. The exercise of jurisdiction by the above-captioned court over Defendants in this 22 civil action is appropriate based upon Trinidad's consent to jurisdiction contained in the Credit 23 24 Facility Note and otherwise pursuant to N.R.S. § 14.065. See Exhibit 1, p. 3 ¶ 7. 25 6. Venue is proper in this district because the parties agreed that any action brought by Solace to enforce the Credit Facility Note at issue would be instituted and prosecuted in the 26 District Court of Clark County, Nevada. See Exhibit 1, p. 3 ¶ 7. 27 111 28 2 of 9Plaintiff's Complaint

GENERAL ALLIGATIONS

7. In 2018, Mandel approached Solace asking for a loan to fund his CBD¹ business. To induce Solace to provide funds, Mandel represented that the business would succeed and that he and his company would pay the loan back timely, and also provided Solace with projections for his CBD business that grossly overstated the projected revenue and profits. There was no reasonable factual basis to support these projections. Yet, Mandel concealed from Solace that the projections were not supportable, and presented them to Solace as reliable.

8 8. As Mandel intended, Solace relied on the projections and Mandel's
9 representations, and agreed to loan the money. To effectuate this loan, Cannadips, LLC
10 ("Cannadips"), a wholly owned subsidiary of Trinidad, which Mandel owns and controls,
11 entered into a Convertible Loan Agreement with Telloni, an affiliate of Solace, pursuant to
12 which Telloni provided Cannadips (and effectively, Mandel) with \$500,000.00 to fund his CBD
13 business.

9. Subsequently, that same year, Mandel told Solace he needed more money to make 14 15 the CBD business work, which would enable him (through Trinidad) to pay back the first loan. As such, Mandel effectively represented that without this additional loan, he and his business 16 would not pay back the first loan. Solace and its affiliate Telloni trusted Mandel and reasonably 17 relied on his representations and agreed to provide more money. In fact, Solace and Telloni had 18 no choice but to provide more money to avoid losing any hope of being paid back on the first 19 20 loan. As a result, Telloni and Trinidad amended this Convertible Loan Agreement and increased 21 the loan for Mandel's business to \$1,000,000.00.

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10. Then, in or around July 2019, Mandel once again made a plea for funds, this time

23

¹ CBD or cannabidiol is a legal substance derived directly from the hemp plant that contains less than 0.3% THC. While CBD is a component of marijuana, by itself it does not cause a "high." *See* Peter Grinspoon, MD, *Cannabidiol (CBD) - What We Know and What We Don't*, https://bit.ly/2SseGus (February 14, 2020, 9:00 AM). On December 20, 2018, the United States' federal government passed the Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018, Pub. L. 115-334, (the "2018 Farm Bill"), which removed hemp from the Controlled Substances Act, which, in turn, legalized CBD under federal law. *See* Food and Drug Administration, *Regulation Of Cannabis and Cannabis-derived Products: Q&A Office Commissioner*, https://bit.ly/2OVN5zk (February 14, 2020, 9:00 AM).

28

claiming a need to fund his business' marketing expenses, which would be needed for the
business to succeed to in turn ensure the previously paid loan amounts would be paid back.
Again facing a situation where Solace and Telloni needed to provide these additional funds to
preserve the chances of Mandel and his companies paying back the earlier loans, Solace agreed
to provide Trinidad and, by association, Mandel, with a new bridge loan for \$200,000.00 (the
"Bridge Loan" aka "Credit Facility Note").

7 11. To effectuate this Bridge Loan, on July 8, 2019, Trinidad executed a Credit
8 Facility Note (the "Credit Facility Note") in exchange for a \$200,000.00 line of credit to be
9 provided by Solace. A true and correct copy of the Credit Facility Note is attached hereto as
10 Exhibit 1.

11

12. Solace provided Trinidad with disbursements of the entire Bridge Loan.

12 13. Each disbursement accrued interest thereon at a rate of Fourteen Percent (14%)
13 per annum, compounded monthly from the date it was disbursed, computed on the basis of a 360
14 day year and a 30 day month. Exhibit 1, p. 1 ¶ 2(a).

15 14. All borrowed funds under the Credit Facility Note, together with all accrued and
unpaid interest, became due and owing on October 8, 2019. *Id.*, p. 1 ¶ 2(b).

17 15. Trinidad did not pay the amounts due on or before the maturity date of October 8,
2019, and has failed and refused to pay the indebtedness due to Solace at any time since despite
demand therefore being made. Trinidad is therefore in default under the Credit Facility Note.

20 16. Under the Credit Facility Note, the principal of the Bridge Loan and interest are
21 past due and owing, and interest will continue to accrue unless and until the default is cured.

17. Solace has made reasonable and diligent efforts to locate the original of the Credit
Facility Note, but has been unable to find it and now believes that it has been accidentally
misplaced, destroyed or lost.

25 18. Solace was and has been entitled to enforce the Credit Facility Note since its
26 execution, including when loss of possession of the original occurred.

27 19. Solace has not sold, negotiated, transferred, assigned or indorsed the Credit
28 Facility Note in any manner whatsoever, and Solace continues to be the owner in its own right of

1	the Credit Facility Note. The original of the Credit Facility Note has not been seized by any
2	person or entity, lawfully or otherwise. Therefore, the loss of possession of the original of the
3	Credit Facility Note was not the result of an assignment or transfer by Solace or a lawful seizure.
4	20. Solace cannot reasonably obtain possession of the original of the Credit Facility
5	Note because it was either destroyed or its whereabouts cannot be determined.
6	21. The copy of the Credit Facility Note attached hereto in this action is a complete,
7	accurate, and authentic copy, and contains identical terms and conditions to the original Credit
8	Facility Note.
9	22. All conditions precedent to the prosecution of this action have been performed,
10	satisfied, excused or waived.
11	23. Solace has been required to retain the services Clark Hill PLC and Perlman,
12	Bajandas, Yevoli & Albright, P.L. to enforce its rights under the Credit Facility Note and
13	prosecute this action and, under the Credit Facility Note, is entitled to costs associated with
14	enforcing this action, including without limitation, all reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and
17	
	expenses.
15 16	expenses. <u>FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u> (Fraud in the Inducement against Mandel and Trinidad)
15 16 17	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF
15 16 17 18	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Fraud in the Inducement against Mandel and Trinidad)
15 16 17 18 19	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Fraud in the Inducement against Mandel and Trinidad) 24. Plaintiff Solace repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 23 above as if set
15 16 17 18 19 20	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Fraud in the Inducement against Mandel and Trinidad) 24. Plaintiff Solace repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 23 above as if set forth fully herein.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Fraud in the Inducement against Mandel and Trinidad) 24. Plaintiff Solace repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 23 above as if set forth fully herein. 25. Solace sues Mandel and Trinidad for fraudulent inducement of Solace to enter
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Fraud in the Inducement against Mandel and Trinidad) 24. Plaintiff Solace repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 23 above as if set forth fully herein. 25. Solace sues Mandel and Trinidad for fraudulent inducement of Solace to enter into the Note.
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Fraud in the Inducement against Mandel and Trinidad) 24. Plaintiff Solace repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 23 above as if set forth fully herein. 25. Solace sues Mandel and Trinidad for fraudulent inducement of Solace to enter into the Note. 26. Mandel, both individually and as a representative and/or agent of Trinidad,
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Fraud in the Inducement against Mandel and Trinidad) 24. Plaintiff Solace repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 23 above as if set forth fully herein. 25. 25. Solace sues Mandel and Trinidad for fraudulent inducement of Solace to enter into the Note. 26. 26. Mandel, both individually and as a representative and/or agent of Trinidad, knowingly (i) made false or misleading statements of material fact to Solace, (ii) concealed and
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Fraud in the Inducement against Mandel and Trinidad) 24. Plaintiff Solace repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 23 above as if set forth fully herein. 25. Solace sues Mandel and Trinidad for fraudulent inducement of Solace to enter into the Note. 26. Mandel, both individually and as a representative and/or agent of Trinidad, knowingly (i) made false or misleading statements of material fact to Solace, (ii) concealed and omitted material information from Solace, and (iii) made false promises of future conduct. This
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Fraud in the Inducement against Mandel and Trinidad) 24. Plaintiff Solace repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 23 above as if set forth fully herein. 25. Solace sues Mandel and Trinidad for fraudulent inducement of Solace to enter into the Note. 26. Mandel, both individually and as a representative and/or agent of Trinidad, knowingly (i) made false or misleading statements of material fact to Solace, (ii) concealed and omitted material information from Solace, and (iii) made false promises of future conduct. This includes but is not limited to instances such as (i) when, on February 17, 2018, Mandel e-mailed
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Fraud in the Inducement against Mandel and Trinidad) 24. Plaintiff Solace repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 23 above as if set forth fully herein. 25. Solace sues Mandel and Trinidad for fraudulent inducement of Solace to enter into the Note. 26. Mandel, both individually and as a representative and/or agent of Trinidad, knowingly (i) made false or misleading statements of material fact to Solace, (ii) concealed and omitted material information from Solace, and (iii) made false promises of future conduct. This includes but is not limited to instances such as (i) when, on February 17, 2018, Mandel e-mailed employees of Solace a spreadsheet of Cannadips' projected sales that over inflated its actual

"Cannadips Update & CBD Production" where Mandel projects Cannadips to bring in \$9.2
million in revenue in 2019, when in reality the company only generated approximately \$1.9
million in revenue in 2019, in order to induce Solace to enter into the Credit Facility Note. These
misrepresentations, omissions and false promises are described above and are referred to in this
claim as the "misrepresentations and omissions."

6 27. Mandel and Trinidad were obligated to disclose omitted material facts, among
7 other reasons, to prevent statements and representations from being misleading.

8 28. Mandel and Trinidad intended for Solace to rely and act on the misrepresentations 9 and omissions, and Solace did detrimentally rely upon the misrepresentations and omissions. 10 The misrepresentations and omissions induced Solace, in reliance, (i) to enter into the Bridge 11 Loan; (ii) to provide funds to Mandel and Trinidad, including the funding of the Bridge Loan; 12 (iii) to defer and/or lose other business opportunities in the CBD industry, thereby delaying 13 Solace's entry into this market, and (iv) to necessarily incur legal fees and costs and other 14 expenses in connection with the Credit Facility Note.

- 29. Solace's reliance was reasonable and justified. Solace would not have entered
 into and funded the Bridge Loan, conducted due diligence and investigation, deferred and/or lost
 other market opportunities, or incurred significant fees, costs and expenses, but for Mandel's and
 Trinidad's misrepresentations and omissions.
- 19

30. Mandel's and Trinidad's conduct constitutes fraud in the inducement.

31. As a direct and proximate result of the above and foregoing, Solace has suffered
and will continue to suffer damages in an amount to be proven at trial with said amount being in
excess of fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000.00).

32. Solace has been required to retain the services Clark Hill PLC and Perlman,
Bajandas, Yevoli & Albright, P.L. to enforce its rights under the Credit Facility Note and
prosecute this action and, under the Credit Facility Note, is entitled to costs associated with
enforcing this action, including without limitation, all reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and
expenses.

28 ///

1	<u>SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u> (Breach of Note against Trinidad)	
2 3	33. Plaintiff Solace repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 32 above as if set	
3 4	forth fully herein.	
5	34. Trinidad materially defaulted under the terms of the Credit Facility Note as	
6	alleged above, including by failing to make the required payment of principal and interest due on	
7	October 8, 2019, or at any time thereafter. All amounts (including principle and interest) under	
8	the Credit Facility Note are past due and owing.	
9	35. By virtue of Trinidad's default and pursuant to the terms of the Credit Facility	
10	Note, Solace has declared, and hereby again does declare, the full amount of the Credit Facility	
11	Note and accrued interest due and owing by Trinidad to Solace.	
12	36. Consequently, as of October 8, 2019, Trinidad has owed and continues to owe	
13	Solace the full unpaid principal under the Credit Facility Note, together with accrued and	
14	accruing interest, and other charges, including but not limited to attorneys' fees and costs which	
15	are also recoverable under the Credit Facility Note. Attorneys' fees and costs, interest and other	
16	charges continue to accrue.	
17	37. To date, no payment has been received and interest is continuing to accrue on the	
18	Note.	
19	38. As a result of the above and foregoing, Trinidad is in an unremedied breach of the	
20	terms and conditions of the Credit Facility Note.	
21	39. As a direct and proximate result of the above and foregoing, Solace has suffered	
22	and will continue to suffer damages in an amount to be proven at trial with said amount being in	
23	excess of fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000.00).	
24	40. Solace has been required to retain the services Clark Hill PLC and Perlman,	
25	Bajandas, Yevoli & Albright, P.L. to enforce its rights under the Credit Facility Note and	
26	prosecute this action and, under the Credit Facility Note, is entitled to costs associated with	
27	enforcing this action, including without limitation, all reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and	
28	expenses	
	7 of 9	

1		THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Unjust Enrichment against Trinidad and Mandel)
2		
3	41.	Plaintiff Solace repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 40 above as if set
4	forth fully he	erein.
5	42.	Mandel and Trinidad set into motion a series of events that induced Solace into
6	lending Trini	idad the Bridge Loan referenced above.
7	43.	Solace conferred a benefit upon Trinidad by providing Trinidad \$200,000.00
8	pursuant to the	he Credit Facility Note.
9	44.	Upon information and belief, some or all of the Bridge Loan funds provided to
10	Trinidad hav	e been transferred to Mandel or otherwise have inured to the benefit of Mandel thus
11	providing Ma	andel a benefit conferred by Solace.
12	45.	Trinidad and Mandel have appreciated the benefit and have accepted and retained
13	the \$200,000	0.00 provided by Solace pursuant to the Bridge Loan.
14	46.	Although Trinidad and Mandel had actual knowledge that the money provided by
15	Solace was a	a loan and not a gift and that Solace expected to be reimbursed therefore, Trinidad
16	failed to mal	ke the required payment due on the Loan's maturity date, October 8, 2019, or any
17	subsequent d	lay thereafter. Mandel has likewise paid no compensation to Solace for any benefits
18	received by I	Mandel.
19	47.	Retention by Trinidad and Mandel of the \$200,000.00 benefit received from
20	Solace under	the circumstances described above would be inequitable and unjust.
21	48.	Thus, Trinidad and Mandel have been unjustly enriched by failing to repay the
22	amount loane	ed by Solace.
23	49.	As a direct and proximate result of the above and foregoing, Solace has suffered
24	and will con	tinue to suffer damages in an amount to be proven at trial with said amount being in
25	excess of fift	teen thousand dollars (\$15,000.00).
26	50.	Solace has been required to retain the services Clark Hill PLC and Perlman,
27	Bajandas, Y	evoli & Albright, P.L. to enforce its rights under the Credit Facility Note and
28	prosecute the	is action and, under the Credit Facility Note, is entitled to costs associated with

1	enforcing this action, including without limitation, all reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and		
2	expenses		
3	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Solace prays for relief as follows:		
4 5	1. Monetary damages in an amount to be proven at trial with said amount being in excess of fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000.00);		
6	2. For enforcement of the Credit Facility Note;		
7	3. For attorney fees and costs; and		
8	4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.		
9	Dated this 18th day of February, 2020.		
10	CLARK HILL PLC		
11	/s/ Mark S. Dzarnoski, Esq.		
12	MARK S. DZARNOSKI Nevada Bar No. 3398		
13	MICHAEL V. CRISTALLI Nevada Bar No. 6266		
14	3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy., #500 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169		
15	Tel: (702) 862-8300 Fax: (702) 862-8400		
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	9 of 9		